1		Judge Leighton
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
11	Plaintiff,	) NO. CR05-5090RBL
12	V.	ORDER CONTINUING
13	PEDRO SALAMANCA-LOPEZ,	) TRIAL DATE )
14	PEDRO SALAMANCA-LOPEZ, a/k/a Pablo Salamanca-Lopez, a/k/a Burro Prieto, and ARTURO BARBOSA-MATA,	) }
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	THIS MATTER came before the Court upon the motion of the defendants,	
18	PEDRO SALAMANCA-LOPEZ, and his attorney Michael Schwartz, ARTURO	
19	BARBOSA-MATA, and his attorney, Charles Johnston requesting an Order granting a	
20	continuance of the trial date. The United States, by and through John McKay, United	
21	States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Sarah Y. Vogel, for said	
22	district, filed a response agreeing with a limited continuance of the trial date for up to	
23	30 days if both defendants filed waivers of speedy trial. Having considered all the files	
24	and records herein,	
25	THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section	

3161(h)(8)(B)(i) that failure to grant such a continuance in the proceeding would be

likely to make a continuation of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage

27

of justice.

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), that failure to grant the continuance in this case, which, taken as a whole, is not so unusual or so complex as to fall within clause (ii), would deny counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, due to counsels' need for more time to review the evidence and consider possible defenses, and to find and interview potential witnesses, including a possible alibi witness, taking into account the exercise of due diligence;

//

//

THIS COURT FINDS, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7), that this is a reasonable period of delay in that the defendants are joined for trial and no motion for trial has been granted, and both defendants have requested more time to prepare for trial, and defendant Arturo Barbosa Mata has filed a waiver of speedy trial excluding all time from the old trial date of June 13, 2005 to the new trial date of September 26, 2005.

THIS COURT FINDS, therefore, that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(A), the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and that they outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

THIS COURT FURTHER FINDS that all of the additional time requested between the original trial date of June 13, 2005, and the new trial date of September 26, 2005 is necessary to provide counsel for the defendants the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date will be continued until September 26, 2005, at 9:30 a.m., with a pretrial conference on September 20, 2005, at 9:00 a.m., and that the date within which the pretrial motions are due is continued to August 1, 2005. The time between this date and the new trial //

```
date is excluded in computing the time within which a trial must be held pursuant to
     Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, et. seq.
            DATED this 7th of June, 2005.
 3
 4
 5
                                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
 6
    Presented by:
 7
 8
     s/Sarah Y. Vogel
SARAH Y. VOGEL
9
    Assistant United States Attorney
10
11
    s/Matthew H. Thomas
MATTHEW H. THOMAS
12
     Assistant United States Attorney
13
14
    s/Michael Schwartz *
MICHAEL SCHWARTZ
15
    Counsel for defendant Salamanca-Lopez
16
     *telephonic approval
17
18
     s/Charles Johnston *
CHARLES JOHNSTON
19
    Counsel for defendant Barbosa-Mata
20
    *verbal approval
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```